## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:

## PCT/IL2006/000140

РСТ	
-----	--

#### NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis 1(c))

G.E. Ehrlich (1995) Ltd. 11 Menachem Begin STECEIVED 52521 Ramat Gan ISRAËL 0 2 SEP 2007 FILE No. <u>346/2</u> G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

Applicant's or agent's file reference 34612

16 August 2007 (16.08.2007)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

International application No. PCT/IL2006/000140

International filing date (*day/month/year*) 05 February 2006 (05.02.2006) Priority date (day/month/year) 04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)

Applicant

MOTORIKA LIMITED et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Simin Baharlou

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

Form PCT/IB/326 (January 2004)

e-mail: pt09.pct@wipo.int

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# PCT

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 34612	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	ka
International application No. PCT/IL2006/000140	International filing date (day/month/year) 05 February 2006 (05.02.2006)	Priority date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) 04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)	
See relevant information in Form F	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant MOTORIKA LIMITED			

This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the 1. International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a). This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet. 2. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead. This report contains indications relating to the following items: 3. Box No. I Basis of the report Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but 4. not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

	Date of issuance of this report 07 August 2007 (07.08.2007)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Simin Baharlou
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt09.pct@wipo.int

		NT COOPE	RATION TRI	EATY
	From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	<u> </u>		
	To: PAUL FENSTER FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD.			PCT
	P.O BOX 10256 PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL 49002			RITTEN OPINION OF THE ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
		1		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
			Date of mailing (day/month/year)	03 JAN 2007
	Applicant's or agent's file reference 414/05078		FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below
	International application No. Internati	onal filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
	PCT/IL06/00140 05 Febr	ary 2006 (05.02	2,2006)	04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both nat			
	IPC: A61H 1/00( 2006.01) USPC: 601/5,23,27,29,32 Applicant			· · · · ·
	MOTORIKA, INC.			
<ul> <li>I. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:</li> <li>Box No. I Basis of the opinion</li> <li>Box No. II Priority</li> <li>Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applical</li> </ul>				ntive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of inven	tion		
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI Certain documents cit	ed		
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the	international am	olication	
	Box No. VIII Certain observations of			
	Box No. VIII Certain observations C	a me miemanoi	агаррисанон	
	2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examiner International Preliminary Examining Authori Authority other than this one to be the IPEA a that written opinions of this International Search	ty ("IPEA") ex and the chosen !	cept that this does IPEA has notified th	not apply where the applicant chooses an ne International Bureau under Rule 66.1 <i>bis(b</i> )
	If this opinion is, as provided above, consider IPEA a written reply together, where appropria of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	te, with amend	ments, before the ex	piration of 3 months from the date of mailing
	3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/	220.		
	Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Date of complet	tion of this opinion	Authorized officer
	Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	11 October 200	-	Michael Brown Telephone No. 571-272-4972

••

C1/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

æ

4.5

International application No.

PCT/IL06/00140

Box No.	I Basis of this opinion			
1. With reg	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
🛛 🖾 t	the international application in the language in which it was filed			
	translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and $23\frac{1}{45}$ )).			
	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed n, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
a. t	ype of material			
[	a sequence listing			
[ [	table(s) related to the sequence listing			
b. f	format of material			
	оп рарег			
	in electronic form			
c.t	ime of filing/furnishing			
	contained in the international application as filed.			
Γ Γ	filed together with the international application in electronic form.			
L	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
0	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the pplication as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.			
4. Addition	al comments:			

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (April 2005)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

 $\sim$ 

406 J

International application No. PCT/IL06/00140

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such         Statement         Novelty (N)       Claims 12 and 40-4         Claims 1-11 and 13         Inventive step (IS)       Claims NONE         Claims 1-49         Industrial applicability (IA)       Claims 1-49         Claims NONE         Claims 1-49         Industrial applicability (IA)       Claims 1-49         Claims 1-11 and 13-39 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated         laims 1-11 and 13-39 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvoce having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that ereon because it is old and well known to have rubber cover brake pads on a bie e exercising device could be used to perform the method steps recited in claims         laims 1-49 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industries nade or used in industry.	
Inventive step (IS)       Claims 1-11 and 13         Inventive step (IS)       Claims NONE         Industrial applicability (IA)       Claims 1-49         Industrial applicability (IA)       Claims 1-49         Claims NONE       Claims NONE         Industrial applicability (IA)       Claims 1-49         Claims NONE       Claims NONE         Industrial applicability (IA)       Claims 1-49         Claims NONE       Claims NONE         Itams 1-11 and 13-39 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated         Iaims 12 and 40-49 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvorte one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the tereon because it is old and well known to have rubber cover brake pads on a bid be exercising device could be used to perform the method steps recited in claims         laims 1-49 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industri	
Inventive step (IS)       Claims 1-11 and 13         Inventive step (IS)       Claims NONE         Industrial applicability (IA)       Claims 1-49         Industrial applicability (IA)       Claims 1-49         Claims NONE       Claims NONE         Industrial applicability (IA)       Claims 1-49         Claims NONE       Claims NONE         Industrial applicability (IA)       Claims 1-49         Claims NONE       Claims NONE         Itams 1-11 and 13-39 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated         Iaims 12 and 40-49 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvorte one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the tereon because it is old and well known to have rubber cover brake pads on a bid be exercising device could be used to perform the method steps recited in claims         laims 1-49 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industri	19YE
Industrial applicability (IA)       Claims 1-49         Industrial applicability (IA)       Claims 1-49         Claims NONE       Claims NONE         . Citations and explanations:	
Industrial applicability (IA)       Claims 1-49         Industrial applicability (IA)       Claims 1-49         Claims NONE       Claims NONE         . Citations and explanations:	
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims <u>1-49</u> Claims <u>NONE</u> . Citations and explanations: laims 1-11 and 13-39 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated laims 12 and 40-49 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obv o one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that thereon because it is old and well known to have rubber cover brake pads on a bid the exercising device could be used to perform the method steps recited in claims laims 1-49 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industri	YE
Claims <u>NONE</u> . Citations and explanations: laims 1-11 and 13-39 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated laims 12 and 40-49 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obv one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that bereon because it is old and well known to have rubber cover brake pads on a bid e exercising device could be used to perform the method steps recited in claims laims 1-49 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industri	NO
. Citations and explanations: laims 1-11 and 13-39 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated laims 12 and 40-49 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obv one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that bereon because it is old and well known to have rubber cover brake pads on a bid e exercising device could be used to perform the method steps recited in claims laims 1-49 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industri	YE
laims 1-11 and 13-39 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated laims 12 and 40-49 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvo one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that erecon because it is old and well known to have rubber cover brake pads on a bi- ie exercising device could be used to perform the method steps recited in claims laims 1-49 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industri	NO
laims 1-11 and 13-39 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated laims 12 and 40-49 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvortione having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that ereon because it is old and well known to have rubber cover brake pads on a bid e exercising device could be used to perform the method steps recited in claims laims 1-49 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industri	
laims 12 and 40-49 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvoor one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that ereon because it is old and well known to have rubber cover brake pads on a bid e exercising device could be used to perform the method steps recited in claims laims 1-49 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industri	